

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

ww.uspto.gov		

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/797,282	03/10/2004	David Kirchhoff	03968-P0001D	2941	
	24126 7	7590 10/11/2006		EXAMINER		
		TEWARD JOHNSTO	. ASTORINO, MICHAEL C			
	986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	orani oran,	01 00,00 501,	•	3736		
•				DATE MAILED: 10/11/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/797,282	KIRCHHOFF ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael C. Astorino	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 June 2006. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8,11,12,14,17,18,20-35,38,39,41-60 and 62-74 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8, 11-12, 14, 17-18, 20-35, 38-39, 41-60, and 62-74 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)	ate					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/797,282

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the amendment and remarks filed December 23, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-8, 11-12, 14, 17-18, 20-35, 38-39, 41-60, and 62-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mault et al. US Patent Number 6,513,532 B2 which incorporates by reference Mault 6,478,736 B1, see column 19, lines 1-36, unless otherwise stated the italicized portions cited below are directed to the Mault '736.

See previous office actions for details of the rejection.

Additionally, in regards the limitation in claims 1, 29, and 41, "crediting the participant with the difference value" and "adjusting the daily food consumption goal of a future day," Mault et al. rejects the limitations. Mault et al. states the use of the Weight Watcher® program with diet and activity monitoring device (see column 15, lines 21-30). Weight Watchers program offers a eating program where the user can carry unused points from one day to the next, (also known as "Banking your Points"). See attached document http://mirror.sg.depaul.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/alt.answers/dieting-faq/part3 dated 9/14/2006. The document is treated as extrinsic evidence to show inherency of the limitation in regards to

Art Unit: 3736

the Weight Watchers® program.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mault et al. US Patent Number 6,513,532 B2 which incorporates by reference 6,478,736 B1, see column 19, lines 1-36, unless otherwise stated the italicized portions cited below are directed to the Mault '736, as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Kolawa et al. US Patent Number 6,370,513 B1.

See previous office actions for details of the rejection.

Claims 13, 40, 61 and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mault et al. US Patent Number 6,513,532 B2 which incorporates by reference 6,478,736 B1, see column 19, lines 1-36, unless otherwise stated the italicized portions cited below are directed to the Mault '736, as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Abrams et al. US Patent Number 5,673,691 A.

See previous office actions for details of the rejection.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to claims 1, 29, and 41 the examiner maintains his rejection. In regards the limitation in claims 1, 29, and 41, "crediting the participant with the difference value" and "adjusting the daily food consumption goal of a future day," Mault et al. rejects the limitations inherently via the Weight Watcher® as documented by the provided extrinsic evidence.

In regards to amended claims 14 and 49, it is the Examiner's position that Mault is time based since it is directed to daily consumption. Daily consumption is indicative of a 24 hour period and is therefore time based. Additionally, Mault meets the alternative of a time based non-structured meal plan.

In regards to claim 62, for the purpose of clarifying the Examiner's position the claim as recited includes a singular type of meal plan type not a plurality of meal plan types which is argued. Additionally any meal plan will be predetermined and have an associated number of carbohydrates, fats, protein, etcetera, since the user is ingesting food and food has such components inherently.

The Applicant is invited to contact the examiner to discuss the differences between the applied prior art and the Applicant invention.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael C. Astorino whose telephone number is 571-272-4723. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30AM to 3:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/797,282 Page 6

Art Unit: 3736

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael Astorino September 30, 2006

> MAX F. HINDENBURG SUPERVICENT PATENT EXAMINER TOWNER OF CENTER 3700